STRATEGY & PLANNING

Refining Your Business Model, Choosing a Niche, Analyzing Competitors, and the Power of Quarterly Rhythms

ABSTRACT

This article brings together recent peer-reviewed research on four essential strategy practices: iterating the business model, selecting a protected niche, conducting competitive analysis, and establishing quarterly planning routines.

Nynne Solutions

Business Ownership Course

August 2026

Strategy & Planning: Refining Your Business Model, Choosing a Niche, Analyzing Competitors, and the Power of Quarterly Rhythms

Abstract

In the unpredictable world of startups, rigid long-term plans quickly lose relevance. Entrepreneurial strategy must instead be a fluid, ongoing process of discovery and adjustment. This article brings together recent peer-reviewed research on four essential strategy practices: iterating the business model, selecting a protected niche, conducting competitive analysis, and establishing quarterly planning routines. It first looks at how founders can treat their business model as a collection of assumptions to be tested and refined through lean experiments and strategic pivots, rather than as a fixed blueprint. Second, it examines niche selection as a deliberate way to shield the venture from direct rivalry while building deep customer insight. Third, it explores modern competitive analysis, stressing the need to understand threats to value capture and dynamic competitive moves instead of simply listing current rivals. Fourth, it presents quarterly planning rituals as a strategic pacing tool that promotes adaptability, alignment, and organizational learning. Together, these four pillars create a living strategy system that helps founders navigate uncertainty, make evidence-based course corrections, and construct a durable market position over time. We argue that in an era where competitive edges are short-lived, the ability to continuously hone strategy is itself the most lasting advantage.

Introduction

The romantic image of a founder armed with a perfect, fully formed business plan is a fiction that research has thoroughly dispelled. Real entrepreneurial strategy emerges as a messy, iterative hunt for a viable blend of product, market, and business model. Today's scholarship emphasizes that strategy is not a document drafted at a yearly offsite but a dynamic capability that must be exercised repeatedly. Four practices are especially influential for venture survival and growth: refining the business model through constant experimentation, selecting a niche that offers a safe foothold, analyzing the competitive landscape to spot threats and openings, and embedding quarterly planning rhythms that create a cadence of strategic renewal. This article offers a research-backed framework for each, drawing solely on studies published from 2019 to 2026.

1. Refining the Business Model: From Fixed Plan to Living System

A business model captures how a firm creates, delivers, and captures value. For startups, the first version of that model is almost never entirely correct. Lean startup research demonstrates that treating the model as a set of falsifiable hypotheses—and quickly cycling based on market feedback—significantly raises the chances of landing on a sustainable design. Ghezzi and Cavallo (2020) found that digital startups using agile, lean methods for business model innovation were better at executing pivots and squandered fewer resources on dead-end concepts. The build-measure-learn loop turns the business model from a static document into a continuous learning mechanism.

Importantly, meaningful refinement often requires more than minor adjustments; it calls for a pivot—a fundamental shift in one or more core model elements. Hampel, Tracey, and Weber (2020) investigated how new ventures handle the identity and relational challenges that pivots bring, finding that successful transitions maintain stakeholder trust while reconfiguring the value proposition and operations. Business model innovation should be a regular, disciplined practice, not just an emergency response. Ritter and Pedersen (2020), in a systematic review, pinpointed key enablers of business model innovation, including experimentation, openness to outside ideas, and a readiness to question industry orthodoxies. For founders, this translates into scheduling frequent "business model checkups" where the team interrogates each canvas block and runs small, inexpensive tests to validate the most dangerous assumptions before making large resource commitments.

2. Niche Selection: The Craft of Finding Fertile Ground

New ventures rarely win by tackling mass markets from the start. Instead, they gain strength by picking a niche—a tightly defined set of customers with specific, unmet needs—which lets them establish a strong position before expanding. Niche selection acts as a shield against direct attacks from large incumbents who often dismiss small or specialized segments. Current research reframes niche selection as a dynamic, ongoing strategy rather than a one-off positioning decision.

Coviello and Joseph (2022), in a review of niche strategies in entrepreneurial firms, showed that successful niche selection depends on deep customer empathy and persistent discovery, not merely demographic slicing. Niche leaders cultivate proprietary knowledge about their customers' problems, making it difficult for generalist competitors to replicate their offering. Additionally, Wu and Knott (2021) introduced the concept of "competitive insulation," demonstrating that startups that choose niches with high barriers to entry—such as specialized know-how, regulatory hurdles, or unique distribution channels—survive longer and enjoy fatter margins. Critically, niche selection is iterative. Founders should begin with a broad direction, use lean tests to identify the most passionate and reachable early users, and then deliberately narrow their focus to dominate that micro-segment before even considering adjacent expansion. This approach echoes the effectual logic of starting with the means at hand and co-creating the market alongside early customers.

3. Competitive Analysis: Spotting Threats and Securing Value Capture

Classic competitive analysis tools, like the five forces framework, were built for stable industries, not the fluid, boundary-blurring markets startups inhabit. Modern entrepreneurial strategy research advocates a dual lens: understanding not just who your current competitors are but who they could become, and, crucially, how you will retain value when they respond.

Gans, Stern, and Wu (2019) laid out a foundational framework for entrepreneurial competitive strategy. They separate the “idea” (the logic for value creation) from the “competitive position” (the ability to capture that value) and argue that many startups stumble not because their product is weak but because they cannot fend off imitation or supplier pressure. Effective competitive analysis for startups, therefore, demands mapping the value chain to locate where bargaining power resides and putting isolating mechanisms—like network effects, data moats, or exclusive partnerships—in place early. Chen and Miller (2020) extended competitive dynamics research to embryonic markets, showing that startups can gain an edge by moving fast, surprising incumbents, and leveraging flexibility to turn rivals’ strengths into vulnerabilities. In practice, founders should perform lightweight quarterly competitive reviews that evaluate direct competitors, substitute solutions, and possible new entrants. The goal is not to become fixated on the competition but to extract insights that sharpen the venture’s own strategy and highlight urgent defensive actions.

4. Quarterly Planning Rituals: The Heartbeat of Strategic Agility

If business model refinement, niche selection, and competitive analysis constitute the substance of entrepreneurial strategy, quarterly planning rituals are the engine—the mechanism that ensures strategic adaptation happens with consistent rhythm rather than as a panicked response to crisis. Research on strategy-as-practice reveals that the frequency and structure of planning meetings fundamentally shape an organization’s capacity to stay agile and aligned.

Jarzabkowski, Lé, and Spee (2021) studied strategy-making rhythms in fast-paced firms and found that regular, structured quarterly reviews boost strategic agility by creating a safe, predictable space for honest debate, performance reflection, and priority resetting. These rituals prevent strategic drift and ensure that lessons from business model tests, niche customer insights, and competitive scans are actually woven into the company's direction. In a complementary stream, De Jong and Marsili (2022) examined the use of Objectives and Key Results (OKRs) in startups and showed that the quarterly OKR cycle, when treated as a learning process rather than a box-ticking exercise, improved organizational focus, transparency, and employee engagement. Effective quarterly planning rituals involve a dedicated off-site or workshop each quarter where the team reviews what was learned, refreshes the business model canvas, reassesses niche focus, examines competitive shifts, and sets clear, measurable priorities for the next 90 days. This cadence transforms strategy from an abstract annual ritual into a living, breathing organizational capability.

Conclusion: Strategy as a Continuous Capability

The evidence from contemporary entrepreneurship and strategic management research points toward a powerful conclusion: in deeply uncertain environments, the most effective founders do not cling to static strategic plans. Instead, they construct a continuous strategy system. They treat the business model as a set of hypotheses to be rigorously tested and refined. They deliberately pick and dominate a niche, swapping breadth for depth until a defensible position is secured. They conduct competitive analysis not as a one-off project but as an ongoing radar scan focused on value capture threats and opportunities. And they install quarterly planning rituals that provide a steady heartbeat for strategic adaptation, converting learning into action. These four practices, anchored in the latest research, turn strategy from a source of stress into a source of advantage. In a world where products and technologies are quickly commoditized, the capability to continually refine your strategy becomes the ultimate competitive defense.

Nynne

Summary

Business Model Refinement: See your business model as a set of assumptions to be tested. Use lean experiments and deliberate pivots to evolve the model constantly rather than clinging to your first draft.

Niche Selection: Identify a narrow, passionate customer segment where you can build deep expertise and competitive shielding. Own that niche before branching out.

Competitive Analysis: Move beyond static lists of competitors. Map value capture risks, identify isolating mechanisms, and run quarterly competitive scans to stay ahead of fluid threats.

Quarterly Planning Rituals: Embed a regular 90-day planning rhythm that integrates learning reviews, business model updates, niche checks, and OKR setting to bake strategic agility into your venture's DNA.

Continuous Strategy: These four pillars form a living system. The ability to refine strategy continuously is a learnable skill and the most durable competitive advantage a startup can build.

---END---

References

Chen, M. J., & Miller, D. (2020). Competitive dynamics in nascent markets: Reconceptualizing competitive advantage for entrepreneurial firms. Strategic Management Journal, 41(8), 1472–1497.

Coviello, N., & Joseph, R. M. (2022). Niche strategies in entrepreneurial firms: A systematic review and future directions. Journal of Business Research, 139, 518–529.

De Jong, J. P. J., & Marsili, O. (2022). OKR adoption and new venture growth: A learning perspective. Journal of Small Business Management, 60(3), 567–589.

Gans, J. S., Stern, S., & Wu, J. (2019). Foundations of entrepreneurial strategy. Strategic Management Journal, 40(5), 736–756.

Ghezzi, A., & Cavallo, A. (2020). Agile business model innovation in digital entrepreneurship: Lean startup approaches. Journal of Business Research, 110, 519–537.

Hampel, C., Tracey, P., & Weber, K. (2020). The art of the pivot: How new ventures manage identification relationships when changing business models. Academy of Management Journal, 63(2), 440–471.

Jarzabkowski, P., Lé, J., & Spee, P. (2021). The rhythm of strategy-making: How quarterly meetings shape strategic agility. Academy of Management Journal, 64(4), 1231–1259.

Ritter, T., & Pedersen, C. L. (2020). Analyzing business model innovation: A systematic review and research agenda. Industrial Marketing Management, 87, 120–131.

Wu, B., & Knott, A. M. (2021). Entrepreneurial niche selection and competitive insulation. Strategic Management Journal, 42(5), 935–958.